IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

CEPHUS M. MURRELL, et al.   *

        Plaintiffs   *

          vs.   *   CIVIL ACTION NO. MJG-01-3560

MAYOR & CITY COUNCIL OF   *
 BALTIMORE, et al.
                        *
        Defendants
*   *   *   *   *   *   *   *   *

ORDER

    In accordance with the conference with counsel held in this

matter on this date:

      1.   The Plaintiffs and the City agree that, by virtue
           of the determinations in the related proceedings,
           the City is liable to Plaintiff for compensatory
           damages for the razing of the properties at issue.

          a.   There is no agreement with respect to
               Plaintiffs' punitive damages claims.

          b.   There is no agreement as to liability by any
               Defendant other than the City.

          c.   By November 17, 2006, Plaintiffs shall state
               whether they intend to pursue punitive
               damages claims and/or claims against any
               individual Defendants.

      2.   It appears that a critical issue in the case
           relates to the method for computing the
           compensatory damages to be awarded herein.

          a.   The parties should, as early in the
               proceeding as feasible, seek to present the
               issue for resolution.

          b.   For example, by means of a motion for partial
               summary judgment or a motion in limine.

2

3.   Discovery shall be completed by March 2, 2007.

4.   By separate Order, the Court shall refer this case
      to a Magistrate Judge not otherwise involved in
      this case to conduct confidential Alternate
      Dispute Resolution proceedings.

      a.   Except as agreed by the parties, statements
            made in settlement proceedings shall be
            maintained in confidence by the Magistrate
            Judge.

      b.   The Court shall be kept aware of the status
            of settlement negotiations and the prognosis
            for a settlement, but in terms which do not
            disclose the positions of the parties.

5.   By April 1, 2007 any summary judgment motions
      shall be filed.

6.   If no timely summary judgment motion is filed,
      counsel for Plaintiffs shall arrange a telephone
      conference to schedule further proceedings by
      April 8, 2007.

SO ORDERED, on <u>Wednesday, November 1, 2006</u>.

                         _____/ s /_____
                           Marvin J. Garbis
                        United States District Judge